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| Situationist Cinema refers to the films and cinematic techniques of the left-wing radical group The Situationist International. While primarily a political organisation, the Situationists’ early writings established them as an avant-garde art movement, with many Situationists being filmmakers, painters, sculptors, architects, writers, activists, and political philosophers. Guy Debord and René Viénet were the only two filmmakers foundational to the organisation. Debord regarded film as ‘the central art of our society’ (With and Against Cinema 19), and in his book *The Society of the Spectacle* (a central work of Situationist theory) argued that modern society had become so saturated by advertising, entertainment, and visual culture that ‘all that was once directly lived ha[d] become mere representation’ (Debord, La Société 12). While he felt that film was one of the most powerful tools of capitalist propaganda, he also believed its power could be recovered for revolutionary purposes. Viénet echoed these sentiments, stating that cinema was ‘undoubtedly [the] most utilizable means of expression of our time’ (Knabb 275). Situationist filmmakers thus tried to recover film from commodity culture and disrupt viewers’ expectations by using cinematic techniques considered anti-art. |
| Situationist Cinema refers to the films and cinematic techniques of the left-wing radical group The Situationist International. While primarily a political organisation, the Situationists’ early writings established them as an avant-garde art movement, with many Situationists being filmmakers, painters, sculptors, architects, writers, activists, and political philosophers. Guy Debord and René Viénet were the only two filmmakers foundational to the organisation. Debord regarded film as ‘the central art of our society’ (With and Against Cinema 19), and in his book *The Society of the Spectacle* (a central work of Situationist theory) argued that modern society had become so saturated by advertising, entertainment, and visual culture that ‘all that was once directly lived ha[d] become mere representation’ (Debord, La Société 12). While he felt that film was one of the most powerful tools of capitalist propaganda, he also believed its power could be recovered for revolutionary purposes. Viénet echoed these sentiments, stating that cinema was ‘undoubtedly [the] most utilizable means of expression of our time’ (Knabb 275). Situationist filmmakers thus tried to recover film from commodity culture and disrupt viewers’ expectations by using cinematic techniques considered anti-art.  The audio tracks of Situationist cinema comprise one of its most salient features. When analysed alongside conventional pairings, the relationship between audio and visual tracks in Situationist films is often perceived as highly dissonant. The soundtracks typically consist of a patchwork of unconnected phrases, including excerpts from Marxist writings, popular fiction and literary works, scientific and sociological works, quotations from other films, news headlines, advertising copy, revolutionary rhetoric, and mundane conversation. In a similar montage-based style, the visual tracks of most of Debord’s films, including *Critique of Separation* (1961) and *The Society of the Spectacle* (1973), are composed of images collected from magazines, popular films, advertisements, and television. In Debord’s words, ‘the form corresponds to the content,’ meaning that the contrast between the images, and the audio and the seemingly random association of excerpts included in each track, are ‘an ultimately realistic description of a way of life deprived of coherence and significance’ (*Complete Cinematic Works*). This method is the cinematic version of a practice the Situationists called *détournement*, through which the meaning of an image or an everyday object is changed by placing it in an unintended context, such as changing the speech bubbles in an existing comic strip.[[1]](#footnote-1)  Viénet’s films used montage less extensively than Debord’s, but his *La Dialectique Peut-Elle Casser Les Briques?* [*Can Dialectics Break Bricks?*] (1973) is nonetheless a definitive example of film as *détournement*. The visual track follows the entirety of the existing Kung Fu film *Crush*, directed by Tu Guangqi, and replaces only the audio track, primarily with recitations from Marxist and anarchist publications. The contrast between the images and the script intended to show viewers how conventional cinema sheltered them from the realities of their lives by placating them with non-critical entertainment. Viénet’s next film, *Les Filles de Kamare* [*The Girls of Kamare*] (1974) similarly set an existing film to a new soundtrack, but also inserted additional images from popular sources. Viénet’s last film does not impose *détourne* onto an existing film, but is a political documentary about Maoism composed from several existing visual sources. Selected List of Works:Directed by Guy Debord *Hurlements pour Sade* [*Howls for Sade*] (1952)  *Sur le passage de quelques personnes à travers une assez courte unité de temps* [*On the Passage of a Few Persons Through a Rather Brief Unity of Time*] (1959)  *Critique de la séparation* [*Critique of Separation*] (1961)  *La Société du Spectacle* [*Society of the Spectacle*] (1973)  *Réfutation de tous les jugements, tant élogieux qu’hostiles, qui ont été jusqu’ici portés sur le filme ‘La Société du Spectacle’* [*Refutation of All the Judgements, Pro or Con, Thus Far Rendered on the Film ‘The Society of the Spectacle’*] (1975)  *In girum imus nocte et consumimur igni* [*We Turn in the Night, Consumed by Fire*] (1978) Directed by Guy Debord and Brigitte Cornand *Guy Debord, son art et son temps* [*Guy Debord: His Art and His Time*] (1994) Directed by René Viénet *La Dialectique Peut-Elle Casser Les Briques?* [*Can Dialectics Break Bricks?*] (1973)  *Les Filles de Kamare* [*The Girls of Kamare*] (1974)  *Chinois, encore un effort pour êtres r*é*volutionnaires!* [*Peking Duck Soup*] (1977) |
| Further reading:  (Bureau of Public Secrets)  (Debord, Complete Cinematic Works: Scripts, Stills, Documents)  (Debord and Cornand, Guy Debord, son art et son temps [Guy Debord: His Art and His Time])  (Debord, Critique de la séparation [Critique of Separation])  (Debord, Hurlements pour Sade [Howls for Sade])  (Debord, In girum imus nocte et consumimur igni [We Turn in the Night, Consumed by Fire])  (Debord, Réfutation de tous les jugements, tant élogieux qu’hostiles, qui ont été jusqu’ici portés sur le filme 'La Société du Spectacle' [Refutation of All the Judgements, Pro or Con, Thus Far Rendered on the Film 'The Society of the Spectacle'])  (Debord, La Société du Spectacle [Society of the Spectacle])  (Debord, The Society of the Spectacle (1967))  (Debord, Sur le passage de quelques personnes à travers une assez courte unité de temps [On the Passage of a Few Persons Through a Rather Brief Unity of Time])  (Guy Debord's Cinema)  (Knabb, Situationist International Anthology)  (McDonough)  (Viénet, La Dialectique Peut-Elle Casser Les Briques? [Can Dialectics Break Bricks?])  (Viénet, Chinois, encore un effort pour êtres révolutionnaires! [Peking Duck Soup])  (Viénet, Les Filles de Kamare [The Girls of Kamare])  (Wark)  (With and Against Cinema) |

1. See Knabb p. 14-21 for a more fulsome discussion of *détournement*. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)